ROAD TO GENEVA

Resilio × ITU - COP31

Joint Statement of AI Adopters on Environmental Transparency

Addressed to model developers, cloud operators and hardware manufacturers, towards the Geneva AI Summit, 21–22 June 2027

I. PREAMBLE

The signatories are organisations that deploy artificial intelligence in their operations. We act here as **adopters**, situated **downstream in a value chain whose environmental effects we report but do not directly control**. We address this statement to model developers, cloud operators and hardware manufacturers, in a spirit of partnership.

Significant efforts have been made on efficiency and energy sourcing, and we acknowledge them. Our concern is not with intent, but with the limited transparency and availability of supplier data needed to support **consistent** and **comparable assessment** of environmental impacts across AI value chains. While internationally recognised assessment methodologies are becoming available, their effective application depends on access to reliable and sufficiently granular information from model developers, cloud operators and hardware manufacturers.

This declaration builds on the **Antalya Pledges on AI** by the **COP31** Presidency and ITU, and specifically commitment A2, under which adopters pledge to progressively request AI providers of AI systems and services to disclose energy and environmental impact data, using internationally recognised, consensus-based multistakeholder standards. It translates that commitment into a shared request to providers and a basis for coordinated implementation.

Adopters and providers share a common interest in improving the availability and quality of environmental information across the AI value chain. Access to reliable and comparable data is increasingly important for sustainability reporting, including the quantification of indirect (Scope 3) greenhouse gas emissions, as well as other environmental impacts associated with the deployment of AI systems. Greater transparency can support more consistent environmental accounting, **informed decision-making** and the effective application of internationally recognised assessment methodologies and standards.

II. FINDINGS

Adopters cannot presently **quantify the footprint** of the AI they deploy to the standard their commitments require; available references reflect controlled conditions rather than production deployment.

1. Tokens, being a commercial unit, are an **imperfect environmental indicator**, yet remain the only unit shared across the value chain.

2. Disclosure obligations (CSRD, the EU AI Act, the UK SDR, SEC requirements and California SB 253) will apply irrespective of the availability of supplier data.

3. As operational energy decarbonises, embodied impacts, concentrated in semiconductor manufacturing and datacentre construction, represent an increasing and insufficiently visible share of the total impact.

III. REQUESTS

The signatories respectfully invite providers to work towards:

(i) *Environmental data reflecting actual usage*, made available through existing commercial channels;

(ii) *Convergence on the application of internationally recognised methodologies, including ITU-T L.1801*, and on units better suited to environmental decision-making than tokens alone;

(iii) *Data suitable for regulatory reporting and independent assurance*, with commercial confidentiality preserved.

IV. UNDERTAKINGS OF THE SIGNATORIES

We undertake to formulate these requests consistently rather than through divergent individual frameworks.

We propose that, between this statement and the **Geneva AI Summit**, adopters and providers pursue joint work towards this goal through initiatives such as the **Green Digital Action** Green Computing Pillar. We believe the outcome should be presented in Geneva as a unified result, not as separate positions.

The signatories remain available for consultation and welcome the participation of all interested parties.

One shared basis for measurement based on better data — to be presented in Geneva as a unified result, not as separate positions.

SIGNATORIES

By signing below, the organisation endorses this statement in its entirety and joins the joint work described in Article IV.

Organisation — Name, Title *Date*	*Organisation — Name, Title* *Date*
Organisation — Name, Title *Date*	*Organisation — Name, Title* *Date*
Organisation — Name, Title *Date*	*Organisation — Name, Title* *Date*
Organisation — Name, Title *Date*	*Organisation — Name, Title* *Date*
Organisation — Name, Title *Date*	*Organisation — Name, Title* *Date*